



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,698	03/15/2002	Royce D. Jordan JR.	01136; 190252-1850	5128
38823 7590 12/14/2011 AT&T Legal Department - TKHR Attn: Patent Docketing One AT&T Way Room 2A-207 Bedminster, NJ 07921				
EXAMINER				
DANIEL JR, WILLIE J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/14/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/098,698		JORDAN, ROYCE D.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	WILLIE J. DANIEL JR		2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIE J. DANIEL JR. (3) _____.

(2) Charles Griggers (Reg. No.: 47,283). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 December 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, 17 and 19-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

During the interview, the Examiner suggested for applicant to consider an amendment inserting the claim language of dependent claims 15 and 17 as well as original specification subject matter (e.g., providing a local telephone number to the wireless client - see pg. 14, [0049, lines 4-5]; Fig. 5 'ref. 326'; claim 19) into independent claims 1, 20, 21, and 22. Applicant indicated will consider the suggestion and provide feedback.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation, including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/WILLIE J DANIEL JR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617	
---	--